

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/656,663	09/07/2000	Joseph E. Kaminkow	0112300/012	1991		
29159 75	590 08/11/2004		EXAMINER			
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC			JONES, SCOTT E			
P. O. BOX 113 CHICAGO, IL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			3713			
		•	D. MT. 1444 TD. 00414000	DATE MAIN ED 00/11/2004		

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	1						
Office Action Commence		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/656,6	63	KAMINKOW ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examine	•	Art Unit			
		Scott E. J		3713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the property of the provision of the provi	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no excation. ays, a reply within the stale or period will apply and we, by statute, cause the appropriate or the appropriate of the appropriate or the appropriate.	ent, however, may a reply be tin tutory minimum of thirty (30) day rill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Dication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly communication.		
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>14 May 2004</i> .	•				
2a)□	·		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 153-161,188-196,207,208,220 and 221 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 153-161,188-196,207,208,220 and 221 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	2000 is/are: a)	be held in abeyance. Sered if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Information	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT er No(s)/Mail Date 02252004,05032004.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	'O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/656,663 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed on May 14, 2004 in which applicant cancels claims 1-152, 162-187, 197-206, and 209-219, submits additional information disclosure statements, and responds to the claim rejections. Claims 153-161, 188-196, 207, 208, and 220-221 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 153-161, 188-196, 207, and 220-221 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Upon further review, the claim language in independent claims 153, 156, 159, 188, 191, 194, 207, 220, and 221 is unclear. In particular, in claim 153, lines 9-15, the examiner is unable to ascertain the function of the claim limitation, "a processor operable to control a game upon a wager by a player, for causing selection of said target or one of the non-targets, counting the number of times one of the non-targets is selected, and upon reaching said predetermined number, causing the speaker to generate:
 - (a) one of said sound effects when one of the non-targets is selected; and
 - (b) another one of the sound effects associated with a different one of the proximities when another one of the non-targets is selected.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,663

Art Unit: 3713

The claim language is unclear because the claim limitations proceeding lines 9-15 is clear to a plurality of non-targets having a plurality of different proximities to the target, and a plurality of different sound effects associated with the different proximities of the non-targets to the target. Therefore, regardless of how many times a non-target is selected (once or one-hundred times) one of said sound effects is generated when one of the non-targets is selected; and another one of the sound effects would be associated with a different one of the proximities when another one of the non-targets is selected. The examiner believes claim language similar to that in claim 208 would make the claim language clear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 153-161, 188-196, 207, 208, and 220-221 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoseloff et al. (U.S. 6,656,046).

Yoseloff et al. discloses a reel slot casino wagering apparatus comprising a housing having at least three displayed reels thereon, each reel having symbols, characters or alphanumerics that are displayed, a wager entering system, a CPU and a sound system, wherein the CPU has software and/or hardware that provides distinctly different audio signals for at least one of a revelation of a non-payout configuration or a position of only particular symbols where the total configuration of all symbols does not provide a payout. Furthermore, these symbols

can be selected automatically via the game machine or manually via a player selection (Claims 1, 3, Column 10, lines 57-67, Column 11, lines 15-67, and Column 12, line 49-Column 13, line 9).

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

8. Claims 153-161, 188-196, 207, 208, and 220-221 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 09/978,607 and claims 1-18 of copending Application No. 10/238,255. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably

Application/Control Number: 09/656,663

Art Unit: 3713

distinct from each other because the instant invention and each of the conflicting applications generates a different sound for an outcome event that occurs in a gaming device.

Page 5

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 153-161, 188-196, 207, 208, and 220-221 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott E. Jones whose telephone number is (703) 308-7133. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 A.M. - 5:00 P.M..

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Scott E. Jones Examiner Art Unit 3713

sej